

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/690,199	10/16/2000	Billy P. Taylor	28150.7	2251	
27685 75	90 09/21/2004		EXAMINER		
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP			EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A		
600 CONGRES SUITE 1600	S AVENUE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, TX	78701		2157		
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/200	DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			$\square$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/690,199	TAYLOR, BILLY P.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hussein A El-chanti	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this app : (1) a timely filed amendment w peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a ti	plication. A proper rep which places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing dat AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF date on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amount of ned statutory period for reply originally set	le of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION.  1.1.136(a) and the appropriat the fee. The appropriate ex t in the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 G	nt's Brief must be filed within th CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismiss	e period set forth in al of the appeal.				
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or searc	th (see NOTE below);				
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	on in better form for appeal by n	naterially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without can NOTE:	celing a corresponding number	of finally rejected clai	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):	•				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely file	ed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	t for reconsideration has been consideration sheet.	onsidered but does N	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which we	ere newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:					
Claim(s) allowed:	Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .			•			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)						
9. 📈 Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s). 3/02	,			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/690,199

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Milocanovic does not teach displaying the electronic version of the mass produced document on the computing device. The new amended claims add new limitation that were not filed with the original claims and would therefore require further consideration and search by the examiner..